



MONTANA FISH, WILDLIFE & PARKS

PO Box 200701 Helena, MT 59620-0701
(406) 444-9947

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

PART I. PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR ACTION

1. Project Title: Fallon County Shooting Range

2. Type of Proposed Action:

The Fallon County Shooting Range proposes to improve the Shooting Range by:

- Installing seven down range target cameras with protective barriers and security for the rifle range.
- Replacing the manual gate with a new gate with an automated entry system.

3. Location Affected by Proposed Action:

The Fallon County Shooting Range is located on 38 acres 3 miles west of Baker, Montana at 8006 Highway 12, Baker, Montana 59313; Section 15, Township 7 North, Range 59 East.

Figure 1. Fallon County Shooting Range, Baker, Montana.



Figure 2. Fallon County Shooting Range, Baker, Montana



4. Agency Authority for the Proposed Action: MCA 87-1-276 through 87-1-279 (Legislative established policies and procedures for the establishment and improvement of shooting ranges) and MCA 87-2-105 (Departmental authority to expend funds to provide training in the safe handling and use of firearms and safe hunting practices). The Montana Legislature has authorized funding for the establishment of a Shooting Range Development Program providing financial assistance for the development of shooting ranges. Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks (FWP) has responsibility for the administration of the program, including the necessary guidelines and procedures governing applications for funding assistance under the program.

To be eligible for grant assistance, a private shooting club or a private organization:

- (a)(i) *Shall accept in its membership any person who holds or is eligible to hold a Montana hunting license and who pays club or organization membership fees;*
- (ii) *May not limit the number of members;*
- (iii) *May charge a membership fee not greater than the per-member share of the club's or organization's reasonable cost of provision of services, including establishment, improvement, and maintenance of shooting facilities and other membership services; and*
- (iv) *Shall offer members occasional guest privileges at no cost to the member or invited guest and shall make a reasonable effort to hold a public sight-in day each September, when the general public may use the shooting range for a day-use fee or at no cost; or*
- (b) *Shall admit the general public for a reasonable day-use fee.*

5. Need for the Action(s):

In a continued effort to address the ADA compliance of all shooting range facilities and to meet the needs of handicap shooters in the community, the Fallon County Rifle Range proposes to install a target camera system to make the rifle range more accessible and usable for disabled users by eliminating the need for shooters to go downrange. The current gate system is not ADA compliant and the gravel road makes it even more difficult for those with disabilities. The new gating system would allow for in-vehicle access to the gate controls eliminating the need for members, guests or day users to leave their vehicle for access to the complex. The improvement enhances the accessibility to the entire grounds.

6. Objectives for the Action(s):

The proposed target camera system and automatic gate facilities would give the handicapped shooting community as well as guests and other users, a safe, accessible, comfortable, and convenient access and shooting experience.

7. Project Size: estimate the number of acres that would be directly affected:

The proposed project will cover less than 1/4 acre of the 38-acre range.

8. Affected Environment (A brief description of the affected area of the proposed project):

The 38-acre Fallon County Shooting Range is located on land owned in fee title by Fallon County. The land is not within or adjacent to any floodplain. There are no permanent surface waters or wetlands on the property. Waterways on the property comprise only intermittent seasonal runoff.

9. Description of Project:

The Fallon County Shooting Range proposes improvements to the access gates and targets. The estimated budget includes:

▪ 7 Target Camera Systems	\$ 3,643
▪ Total Electrical Labor and Materials for Gates and Cameras	\$ 8,994
▪ Gates and Installation	\$ 14,601
▪ Total Budget for the Proposed Project	\$ 27,238
▪ Total FWP Funding Requested	\$ 13,619

10. List any Other Local, State, or Federal Agency that has Overlapping or Additional Jurisdiction:

None

Permits, Licenses and/or Authorizations:

<u>Agency Name</u>	<u>Permit</u>	<u>Date Filed/#</u>
N/A		

Funding:

<u>Agency Name</u>	<u>Funding Amount</u>
Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks	\$13,619

11. Affiliations, Cooperating Agencies, User Groups and/or Supporting Groups:

The Fallon County Shooting Range is located on 38 acres of public land deeded to Fallon County. Fallon County Shooting Range is a county owned facility, managed by a Board of Directors. An annual \$30 membership fee for individuals and families, which includes the gate fee, is charged for use of the shooting range. Fallon County Shooting Range hosts several free days throughout the year. Organizations that have used the range in the past include Green Horn Target Shooters, Trap, Ladies Trap, Women on Target, 4-H Shooting Sports Trap, and Turkey Shoot. Green Horn Target Shooters also host several NRA High Power Shoots. Both NRA and Green Horn Target Shooters hold classes for .22 educations. Hunter's Safety holds their classes at the range weather permitting during the winter.

12. History of the Planning and Scoping Process, and Any Public Involvement:

Because the Fallon County Shooting Range is a public range owned by Fallon County, there has been public involvement in the planning process. Range improvement proposals have been discussed with the public, Board members, and the associated project vendors and contractors.

13. List of Agencies Consulted/Contacted During Preparation of the EA:

Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks

14. Names, Address, and Phone Number of Project Sponsor:

Jason Rittal, P.O. Box 846, Baker, MT 59313. (406) 778-3270.

15. Other Pertinent Information:

The Fallon County Shooting Range is located three miles west of Baker, Montana and serves Baker as well as smaller communities within easy driving distance of the range. The closest range that provides similar shooting opportunities, including an indoor live fire range, is a private range located 90 miles from the Fallon County Shooting Range in Glendive, Montana. The Fallon County Shooting Range offers opportunities for several shooting sports, including long range rifle, pistol, small bore, black powder, shotgun, and archery.

Shooting range applications require the participating governing body to approve by resolution its submission of applications for shooting range-funding assistance. Resolution Date: January 22, 2018.

PART II. IDENTIFICATION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES

Alternative A, the **Proposed Alternative**, and **Alternative B**, the **No Action Alternative**, were considered.

- **Alternative A (Proposed Alternative)** is as described in Part I, paragraph 9 (Description of Project): to install seven Target Camera Systems and automatic gates in order to achieve full ADA compliance of the Fallon County Shooting Range. There are beneficial consequences to acceptance of the **Proposed Alternative**.
- **Alternative B (No Action Alternative)** Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks Shooting Range Development Grant money would be denied and the area will remain as an active shooting range without the proposed improvements. The no action alternative would have no significant negative environmental or potentially negative consequences. The range will continue on with present

conditions. Land use would remain the same.

Description and analysis of reasonable alternatives (including the no action alternative) to the proposed action whenever alternatives are reasonably available and prudent to consider and a discussion of how the alternatives would be implemented: Only the proposed alternative and the no action alternative were considered. There were no other alternatives that were deemed reasonably available, nor prudent. Neither the proposed alternative nor the no action alternative would have significant negative environmental or potentially negative consequences.

Describe any Alternatives considered and eliminated from Detailed Study:

None. Only the proposed alternative and the no action alternative were considered. There was no other alternative that was deemed reasonably available, or prudent. Neither the **Proposed Alternative** nor the **No Action Alternative** would have significant negative environmental or potentially negative consequences.

List and explain proposed mitigating measures (stipulations):

None

PART III. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Abbreviated Checklist – The degree and intensity determines extent of Environmental Review. An abbreviated checklist may be used for those projects that are not complex, controversial, or are not in environmentally sensitive areas.

Table 1. Potential impact on physical environment.

Will the proposed action result in potential impacts to:	Unknown	Potentially Significant	Minor	None	Can Be Mitigated	Comments Below
1. Unique, endangered, fragile, or limited environmental resources				X		
2. Terrestrial or aquatic life and/or habitats				X		2
3. Introduction of new species into an area				X		
4. Vegetation cover, quantity & quality				X		4
5. Water quality, quantity & distribution (surface or groundwater)				X		5
6. Existing water right or reservation				X		
7. Geology & soil quality, stability & moisture				X		7
8. Air quality or objectionable odors				X		8
9. Historical & archaeological sites				X		9
10. Demands on						

Will the proposed action result in potential impacts to:	Unknown	Potentially Significant	Minor	None	Can Be Mitigated	Comments Below
environmental resources of land, water, air & energy				X		
11. Aesthetics				X		

2. & 5. There are no delineated wetlands and no natural water sources within the area proposed for development. No critical wildlife habitat would be affected. Any resident or transient wildlife may leave the immediate area during road re-surfacing activity.

4. The elimination of vegetation for the installation of the gates and cameras will not change the overall abundance and diversity of plant species within the area. The proposed project occupies a small portion of the property. Due to prior land use, native vegetation has been disturbed in the area of the proposed project. Therefore, the proposed project will have a minor impact on native vegetation in the area.

7. The proposed project will cause limited displacement of soils but the developments will not substantially effect geological features or establish new erosion patterns. Soil disruption for this site is localized. Erosion control measures will be in effect and disturbed areas will be reseeded.

8. Minor and temporary dust and vehicle emissions would be created during installation of the gates. However, the construction time is short and human effects will be limited due to the sparse population in the surrounding area.

9. This project uses no federal funds nor does it take place on state owned or controlled property; therefore, the Federal 106 Regulations and the State Antiques Act do not apply.

Table 2. Potential impacts on human environment.

Will the proposed action result in potential impacts to:	Unknown	Potentially Significant	Minor	None	Can Be Mitigated	Comments Below
1. Social structures and cultural diversity				X		
2. Changes in existing public benefits provided by wildlife populations and/or habitat				X		
3. Local and state tax base and tax revenue				X		
4. Agricultural production				X		
5. Human health				X		5

6. Quantity & distribution of community & personal income				X		
7. Access to & quality of recreational activities				X		7
8. Locally adopted environmental plans & goals (ordinances)				X		
9. Distribution & density of population and housing				X		
10. Demands for government services				X		
11. Industrial and/or commercial activity				X		

5. The proposed installation of seven target camera systems and automatic gates would improve the convenience and safety for handicap members and the public using the facility.

7. The proposed improvements to the Fallon County Shooting Range will improve the quality of the shooting sports within the community.

PART IV. NARRATIVE EVALUATION AND COMMENT

All of the pertinent or potential impacts of the project have been reviewed, discussed, and analyzed. None of the project reviewed were complex, controversial, or located in an environmentally sensitive area. The projects being implemented are already on an existing range or altered areas that together with the insignificant environmental effects of the proposed action, indicates that this should be considered the final version of the environmental assessment. There are no significant environmental or economic impacts associated with the proposed alternative.

The Fallon County Shooting Range Board's Proposed Alternative, to provide a safe, regulated shooting opportunity, is supported by its members and the public. Therefore, Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks should approve the Proposed Alternative (A) for the improvements as outlined in Part I, Paragraph 9.

PART V. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

Does the proposed action involve potential risks or adverse effects, which are uncertain but extremely harmful if they were to occur? No

Does the proposed action have impacts that are individually minor, but cumulatively significant or potentially significant? Individually, the proposed actions have minor impacts. However, it was determined that there are no significant or potentially significant cumulatively impacts. Cumulative impacts have been assessed considering any incremental impact of the proposed action when they are combined with other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions, and no significant impacts or substantially

controversial issues were found. There are no extreme hazards created with this project and there are no conflicts with the substantive requirements of any local, state, or federal law, regulation, standard or formal plan.

Recommendation and justification concerning preparation of EIS:

There are no significant environmental or economic impacts associated with the proposed alternative; therefore, an EIS is not required.

PART VI. EA CONCLUSION SECTION

Individuals or groups contributing to, or commenting on, this EA:

- Jason Rittal, P.O. Box 846, Baker, MT 59313. (406) 778-3270.
- MT Fish Wildlife and Parks

EA prepared by:

Andrea Darling
Darling Natural Resource Consulting
Montana City, MT 59634

Date Completed:

May 9, 2018

Describe public involvement, if any:

This draft EA will be advertised on FWP's web site and through a legal ad in the *Fallon County Times, Baker, MT* announcing a public comment period. A press release will also announce the project and comment period.